Lecture 8.1: HW1 Discussion

Lecture 8.1: HW1 Discussion

◆□ > ◆圖 > ◆ 图 > ◆ 图 > 一 图

- Consider $f(x) = x_1 \dots x_m$, where $m \leq \log^c n$
- These functions are hard to invert because an adversary takes $n \ge 2^{m^{1/c}}$ time to write down the pre-image

- $\nu(\cdot)$ is negligible if: \forall polynomial $p(\cdot)$, $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \ge n_0$ we have: $\nu(n) \le 1/p(n)$
- ν(·) is non-negligible if: ∃ polynomial p(·) such that ∀n₀ ∈ N there exists n ≥ n₀ such that: ν(n) > 1/p(n)
- "Eventually" operator: $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \ge n_0$
- "Infinitely often" operator: $\forall n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n \ge n_0$
- Think: Contrapositive of statements in security proofs and the use of "non-negligible" functions

- Since we do not know how to efficiently enumerate primes, we define $f(x, y) = x \cdot y$
- Use the fact that Π_n (the set of all primes with n-bit representations) is dense in {0,1}ⁿ
- See: Theorem 33.5 in lecture notes by Pass-Shelat

- 4 同 2 - 4 回 2 - 4 回 2 - 4

- Think: How two-repetition of a weak one-way function makes it harder to invert
- Intuition: To invert $g(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = f(x_1) \ldots f(x_m)$ we need to invert all
- See: Theorem 35.1 in lecture notes by Pass-Shelat

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Levin's OWF
- If there exists a OWF then there exists a OWF with *small* running time
- Levin's OWF: f*(M, x) outputs the execution of M(x) if it has small running time; otherwise 0

Question 6

- Setting:
 - How to prove: "Some Cryptographic Primitive" implies OWF?
 - We shall show the contrapositive: not-OWF implies not-"Some Cryptographic Primitive"
 - [Impagliazzo-Luby-89,Impagliazzo-Thesis-90] showed: not-OWF implies not-distributionally-OWF
 - Suffices: not-distributionally-OWF implies not-"Some Cryptographic Primitive"
- Uniform Generation Problem for NP [Jerrum-Valiant-Vazirani-86,Bellar-Goldreich-Petrank-00]: Uniformly reverse sample x such that f(x) = y
- not-distributionally-OWF: Uniformly reverse sample x such that f(x) = y, where $y = f(U_n)$ and the distortion is arbitrary "1/poly" small
- Former is "worst-case" while the latter is "average-case" notion